

# Evaluation

## The Evaluation Process

A detailed evaluation of alternatives will be carried out to identify an improvement plan that is cost-effective, provides safe operations, and provides reasonable local access, while minimizing the effects on the natural, social and cultural environments. This is accomplished by identifying evaluation criteria along with their relative importance, and then ranking the overall scores of the design alternatives.

Two additional Public Meetings will be scheduled following Public Meeting 1.

- Public Meeting 2 will present the evaluation criteria and the alternatives to be evaluated
- Public Meeting 3 will present the Preferred Plan

Evaluation Criteria	
ENGINEERING	
• _____	• _____
• _____	• _____
• _____	• _____
COMMUNITY	
• _____	• _____
• _____	• _____
• _____	• _____
ENVIRONMENT	
• _____	• _____
• _____	• _____
• _____	• _____

Evaluation		
ALTS	PROS	CONS
<b>1</b>	+ _____	- _____
	+ _____	- _____
<b>2</b>	+ _____	- _____
	+ _____	- _____
<b>3</b>	+ _____	- _____
	+ _____	- _____
<b>4</b>	+ _____	- _____
	+ _____	- _____

Evaluation		
ALTS	PROS	CONS
<b>1</b>	+ _____	- _____
	+ _____	- _____
<b>2</b>	+ _____	- _____
	+ _____	- _____
<b>3</b>	+ _____	- _____
	+ _____	- _____
	+ _____	- _____
<b>4</b>	+ _____	- _____
	+ _____	- _____

### Identify Criteria

Evaluation criteria are established through:

- public input
- similar projects
- regulatory guidelines
- existing conditions

### Evaluate the Alternatives

Evaluation of the alternatives is completed by assessing the advantages and disadvantages for each alternative based on the evaluation criteria.

### Identify the Preferred Plan

The assessment of the alternatives is used as the basis for ranking the alternatives and identifying the Preferred Plan.