## ALTERNATIVES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## SCREENING RESULTS FOR SERVICE ALTERNATIVES

LEVEL 1 SCREENING						
	Time-of-Day Departure	Scheduled Trip Time	Stations Served	Train Consist	Hiawatha <sup>1</sup> Service Integration	OVERALL EVALUATION
No-Build	*	×	*	×	<b>√</b>	Don't carry forward
Alternative 1	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	Carry forward
Alternative 2	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	Carry forward
Alternative 3	<b>√</b>	<b>x</b> 2	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	Carry forward
Alternative 4	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	Carry forward

LEVEL 2 SCREENIN	NG		2
	Infrastructure Needs	Operational Performance	OVERALL EVALUATION
Alternative 1	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	Carry forward
Alternative 2	*	<b>✓</b>	Don't carry forward
Alternative 3	<b>√</b>	*	Don't carry forward
Alternative 4	*	<b>√</b>	Don't carry forward

LEVEL 3 SCRE	EENING		3
	Time of Departure	Ridership and Train Capacity	OVERALL EVALUATION
Alternative 1	✓	<b>✓</b>	Carry forward

PURPOSE and NEED CRITERIA						
	Provides non-auto option to destinations/ airports?	Addresses population increases and economic growth?	Provides schedule choices?	Provides additional ridership capacity?	Cost-effective capital, operation and maintenance?	OVERALL EVALUATION
No-Build <sup>3</sup>	×	*	*	*	×	Don't carry forward
Alternative 1	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	Carry forward
Alternative 2	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	*	Don't carry forward
Alternative 3	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	*	Don't carry forward
Alternative 4	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	*	Don't carry forward

## RECOMMENDATIONS

ALTERNATIVE 1 advances to Level 4 Screening (Operations Modeling Evaluation), which will identify infrastructure improvements needed for safe, efficient freight and passenger rail operations.













